



Experimental and Computational Simulation of Wind Damage to Façades

Speaker: Seung Jae Lee, Ph.D. Florida International University

In collaboration with

Dr. Amal Elawady, Dr. Arindam Gan Chowdhury, Dr. Guido Lori, Dr. Peter Irwin, Dr. Ioannis Zisis, Ali Bakhtiari, Krishna Sai Vutukuru, Kehinde Alawode, and WOW staffs

> August 11, 2020 09:40 - 10:30am PDT





WE-UQ: WIND ENGINEERING WITH UNCERTAINTY QUANTIFICATION

Streamlined experiment-to-simulation using WE-UQ

WE-UQ File Help WE-UQ: Wind Engineering with Uncertainty Quantification	- C X	٢	<pre>{ "meanWindSpeed":22.000000, "shape":"Cuboid",</pre>	Wind tunnel test
GI Loading Type Wind Tunnel Experiment SIM Shape CPD - Basic Vind Speed CPD - Expert Data File Wind Tunnel Experiment EVT Forces using Wind Tunnel Experiment Data FEM UQ FDP Wind Type Wind Type Wind Tunnel Experiment Data	2 8	Specimen { geometry {	<pre>"depth":24.000000, "height":12.000000, "breadth":16.000000, "frequency":600.000000, "period": 15.000000, "units":{ "length":"m", "time":"sec"</pre>	data (*.JSON file)
RES Shape Stochastic Wind Shape CFD - Basic Wind Speed DEDM_HRP DowRiseTPU Data File Wind Tunnel Experiment Existing RUN RUN at DesignSafe GET from DesignSafe CET from DesignSafe	Forces	Pressure tap locations Measured <i>Cp</i> values	<pre>}, "tapLocations": [{"id":1,"xLoc":1.000000,' {"id":2,"xLoc":3.000000,'], "pressureCoefficients": [{"id": 1 , "data":[-1.083 {"id": 2 , "data":[-1.183 </pre>	'yLoc":15.000000,"face":5}, 'yLoc":15.000000,"face":5}, 3194,-1.372954,]}, 1435,-1.164351,]},
This work is based on material supported by the National Science Foundation under grant 1612843	nputational Modeling and Simulation		}	

WE-UQ: WIND ENGINEERING WITH UNCERTAINTY QUANTIFICATION

- Streamlined experiment-to-simulation using WE-UQ
 - + FIU WOW EF can provide this wind tunnel test data for use in WE-UQ



```
Wind tunnel test
"meanWindSpeed":22.000000,
"shape":"Cuboid",
                                 data (*.JSON file)
"depth":24.000000,
"height":12.000000,
"breadth":16.000000,
"frequency":600.000000,
"period": 15.000000,
 "units":{
    "length":"m",
    "time":"sec"
},
 "tapLocations": [
     {"id":1,"xLoc":1.000000,"yLoc":15.000000,"face":5},
     {"id":2,"xLoc":3.000000,"yLoc":15.000000,"face":5},
      . . . . . .
].
"pressureCoefficients": [
     {"id": 1, "data": [-1.083194, -1.372954, ....]},
     {"id": 2, "data": [-1.181435, -1.164351, ....]},
      . . . . . .
```

Menu (*Omakase*)

- Appetizer
 - + Damage to building envelopes under wind loads
- Entrée
 - + Experiment-to-simulation of wind induced vibrations to façades
 - Experiment using NHERI FIU WOW EF
 - Numerical modeling/calibration/validation
- Dessert
 - + Concluding remarks
 - + Q&A / Discussion

Wind damages to building envelopes

- Examples of damages to the envelopes of high-rise buildings
 - + Curtainwall systems as the main cladding component
 - + The most vulnerable part of the buildings under wind loads
 - + Cladding failure is a leading contributor to the losses in wind events



Building envelope damages after hurricane

Wind damages to building envelopes

Façade damage types



Frame deformation



Glass blowout



Separation at frame corner with displaced rubber seal (joint quality affected)



Operable part disengagement (Photos by courtesy of Permasteelisa Group)

Wind Impact to building envelopes

Research significance

- + Building envelopes are the most vulnerable components under wind loads
- + Wind-induced vibration impacts the water tightness
- + Performance-based wind engineering (PBWE) framework
 - Majority of PBWE research has focused on structural building performance*
 - Current WE-UQ is limited to the assessment of the structural building response
- + Building codes can be improved
 - > Equivalent static analysis
 - Solution ASCE 7 Structures with natural frequencies above 1Hz do not need to be analyzed for wind-induced dynamic effects

* Ouyang, Z., and Spence, S. M. J. (2020). "A Performance-Based Wind Engineering Framework for Envelope Systems of Engineered Buildings Subject to Directional Wind and Rain Hazards." ASCE Journal of Structural Engineering, 146(5), 04020049.

CURRENT BUILDING CODE ON THE WIND INDUCED VIBRATIONS

BUILDING AND OTHER STRUCTURE, FLEXIBLE: Slender buildings and other structures that have a fundamental natural frequency less than 1 Hz.

FLEXIBLE BUILDINGS AND OTHER STRUCTURES:

A building or other structure is considered flexible if it contains a significant dynamic resonant response. Resonant response depends on the gust structure contained in the approaching wind, on wind loading pressures generated by the wind flow about the building, and on the dynamic properties of the building or structure Gust energy in the wind is smaller at frequencies above about 1 Hz. Therefore, the resonant response of most buildings and structures with lowest natural frequency above 1 Hz will be sufficiently small that resonant response can often be ignored.

ASCE 7-16

+ ASCE 7: 1Hz limit for the vibration effects \rightarrow *Applicable to façade?*

- + Wind induced vibrations of flexible/ slender elements like operable windows, fin, shades can damage façade frame and connections
- + Significant vibrations may occur with natural frequencies above 1Hz (Simplified code methods does not capture the wind induced response)

ANATOMY OF CURTAIN WALL SYSTEMS

Many framing elements and mechanical connections



WOW experiment

Experiment-to-simulation of wind induced vibrations to façades

Two ongoing curtainwall research

- + NSF IUCRC WHIP (Wind Hazard and Infrastructure Performance) funded project
 - > Title: Investigation of Wind-driven Rain and Wind-induced Vibrations Effects on Curtain Wall Systems
 - > PIs: Amal Elawady & Seung Jae Lee
 - > Co-PIs: Arindam Gan Chowdhury & Ioannis Zisis
 - Industry partner: Guido Lori (Permasteelisa Group)
 - > WHIP center website: <u>http://www.whipc.org/</u> (PIs: Kishor Mehta, Ioannis Zisis & Delong Zuo)
- + Florida Sea Grant funded project
 - Title: Full-Scale Experimentation and Advanced Computational Modeling to Mitigate Wind-Induced Vibrations and Their Effects on Curtainwall Window Systems
 - > PI: Arindam Gan Chowdhury
 - Co-Pls: Peter Irwin & Seung Jae Lee
 - Industry partner: Guido Lori (Permasteelisa Group)

FAÇADE EXPERIMENT AT FIU WOW EF

Test specimen (full-scale)



Introduction

WOW experiment

Numerical model Conclu

Concluding Remark

INSTRUMENTATION OF PRESSURE TAPS



110 pressure tap locations on the polycarbornate panels



Installed pressure taps and data logging



08/11/2020

INSTRUMENTATION OF ACCELEROMETERS AND STRAIN GAUGES

Accelerometers



Strain gauges



TESTING PROTOCOL



- Pressure testing
 - + Wind pressure coefficient (Cp)

Wind speed (mph)	Angle of attack	Test duration			
50	0° to 180° with 15° increment	60 sec			
Dynamic testing					
+ Acceleration and strain					
Wind speed (mph)	Angle of attack	Test duration			
50, 70	0° to 180°	600 sec			
90	with 45° increment	300 sec			

Experimental and Computational Simulation of Wind Damage to Façades

50

Width(in)

100

Time =0.021368 s

Pressure(psi)

0.0

 $= 0.5 Cp \times \rho \times v^2$

150 0.1

TEST RESULT - PRESSURE

Time history pressure distribution
 + 50 MPH / 90 degrees (normal to panels)



TEST RESULT - PRESSURE

NIST and WOW test parameters

	NIST	WOW
Wind Tunnel Size (Width × Height)	3.4m × 2.4m	6m x 4.3m
Model Size Scale	1:100	1:1
Height/Width Ratio	1:1	1:1.2
Wind Angle increment	5°	15°
Roof Type	Gable Roof	Flat Roof
Sampling Frequency	500Hz	520Hz
Turbulence Intensity (at roof height)		0.22
Reference Height	Eave Height	Roof Height
Wind Tunnel Roof Height Velocity (Full scale)	29.6m/s	22.52m/s
Simulated Terrain	Open Country ($Zo = 0.03m$)	Open Country (Zo = 0.08m)
Reynolds Number		



NIST Model

TEST RESULT - PRESSURE

Verification of experiment result (Cp mean)





TEST RESULT - ACCELERATION

Acceleration time history
 + 50 MPH / 90 degrees





Test result - strain



Modeled Geometry

Main frame (Mullion/Transom) + Sash frame (operable part)



MODELED GEOMETRY

Main frame (Mullion/Transom) + Sash frame (for operable window)



MODELED GEOMETRY



Modeled Geometry

Nine (9) different framing profiles
 Interaction between frames





3 4 5 6 7 8 9

00

+

0

MODELING THE INTERACTIONS BETWEEN PROFILES



MODELING THE INTERACTIONS BETWEEN PROFILES

Interaction of main frame and sash frame



MODELING THE INTERACTIONS BETWEEN PROFILES

Assembling the sash frame to the main frame



Curtainwall system modeling



GLASS MODELING

- Equivalent glass thickness?
 - + Glass covers the most part of façade
 - > Important to accurately model the properties (mass, stiffness, ...)
 - + Multiple layers are used with insulated laminated glass



- + Effective thickness of laminated glass \rightarrow Galuppi and Royer-Carfagni (2012)
- + Equivalent thickness of DGU \rightarrow Annex C of Eurocode prEN 16612
 - > Back-calculation of the overall effective stiffness

Galuppi, L., and Royer-Carfagni, G. F. (2012). "Effective thickness of laminated glass beams: New expression via a variational approach." Engineering Structures, 38, 53-67.



Equivalent DGU thicknesses

Outer layer	Gap	Inner layer (w/ PVB)	Equivalent Inner layer thickness	Equivalent DGU thickness	Thickness ratio R (R<1 $ ightarrow$ thinner)	Scaled Unit weight (pci)
0.25 in (6.35 mm)	0.5 in (12.7 mm)	0.405 in (10.29 mm)	0.384 in (9.75 mm)	0.4094 in (10.4 mm)	0.646 = 0.4094/(0.25+0.384)	0.1239
0.1875 in (4.76 mm)	0.625 in (15.88 mm)	0.1875 in (4.76 mm)	0.1875 in (4.76 mm)	0.2258 in (5.73 mm)	0.602	0.1329
0.25 in (6.35 mm)	0.5 in (12.7 mm)	0.405 in (10.29 mm)	0.365 in (9.27 mm)	0.3754 in (9.53 mm)	1.028	0.0778
0.25 in (6.35 mm)	0.5 in (12.7 mm)	0.405 in (10.29 mm)	0.357 in (9.068 mm)	0.3495 in (8.87 mm)	0.576	0.1389
0.39 in (10 mm)	0.47 in (12 mm)	0.236 in (6 mm)	0.236 in (6 mm)	0.42 in (10.72 mm)	0.667	0.1200

08/11/2020

MODELING THE INTERACTIONS BETWEEN FRAME AND GLASS

Silicone joints (highlighted in yellow)

+ Located b/w glass and sash frame or b/w glass and main frame



MODELING THE INTERACTIONS BETWEEN FRAME AND GLASS

Silicone joints

+ Modeling using a set of translational and rotational springs



Curtainwall system modeling

Assembling all together



1 6"

BOUNDARY CONDITION

- Modeled boundary condition
 - + Simple supports
 - + No vertical deflection along the bottom transom



Connections to the steel structure; Top (left figure) & Bottom (right)

18"

Overall agree

with the PSD

testing.

EIGEN ANALYSIS RESULT

Eigenvalues

Mode:	1	2	3	4	5
f (Hz)	5.0057	17.5723	22.9353	24.8018	25.3669

- + Major frequencies
 - > ~5Hz & ~18Hz: Middle panel is excitated
 - > ~24Hz: All panels are excitated
- Eigenmodes





08/11/2020



Acceleration



08/11/2020



0.26

- Dynamic Amplification Factor
 - + Quasi static pressure computation (Max)



Dynamic Amplification Factor



Wind speed effect on DAFs (WOW)

Concluding Remark

Concluding Remarks

- Building envelopes: the most vulnerable components under wind loads
- High complexity and uncertainty in the response under wind loads
- Relatively unexplored area
 - + NSF ECI clearly indicated "building envelopes" as one of 4 research foci
 - + Great research topic!
- FIU WOW EF with capability of full-scale envelope testing and numerical modeling

Engineering for Civil Infrastructure Program



-

Supports fundamental research in infrastructure materials and architectural, geotechnical and structural engineering that can shape the future of the nation's civil infrastructure

- Research focus areas:
 - Geomaterials and geo-structures
 - Structural materials (metallic, polymeric, cementitious, glass, composites, etc.)
 - Structural and non-structural systems
 - Building envelopes 🖌
- Physical infrastructure subjected to or interacting with
 - Natural environment during construction
 - "Normal" service conditions
 - Severe loading and environmental conditions
 - Extreme single or multiple events (e.g., earthquakes, windstorms, tsunamis, storm surges, sinkholes, subsidence, and landslides)

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

NSF ECI Webinar slide (May 11, 2020)

ECI Webiner



Courtesy of Nathan H. Lee